

Minutes of November 17, 2020 Jakarta EE Steering Committee Meeting

Please refer to your meeting invitation for the zoom password.

Attendees:

Fujitsu: **Kenji Kazumura**

IBM: **Dan Bandera, Kevin Sutter, Neil Patterson**

Oracle: **Will Lyons, Ed Bratt**

Payara: **Eliot Martin**

Red Hat: **John Clingan, Mark Little, Scott Stark**

Tomitribe: **David Blevins, Caesar Hernandez**

Enterprise Member representative: **Scott Wang**

Participant member representative: **Martijn Verburg**

Committer member representative: **Arjan Tijms**

(Quorum is 5 -- simple-majority or one-half of the members (if even number) must be present)

Eclipse: **Ivar Grimstad, Paul White, Paul Buck, Tanja Obradovic, Karen McNaughton, Mike Milinkovich**

Review of Minutes from Prior Meetings

Minutes of the November 3 meeting were approved.

Minutes of the November 10 meeting will be reviewed next time.

We will plan on meeting next week on November 24.

Jakarta EE 9 Spec Project Status

- Spec status summary
 - https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1YTUpfdLZZrk2_UGwoX2w0seOCueRO3sQJjWxpDAa7g/edit#gid=0
- Spec project board (new column for links to TCK and GF board)
 - <https://github.com/orgs/eclipse-ee4j/projects/17>
- TCK issues
 - <https://github.com/eclipse-ee4j/jakartaee-tck/issues>
- Schedule
 - <https://eclipse-ee4j.github.io/jakartaee-platform/jakartaee9/JakartaEE9#jakarta-e-e-9-schedule>
- Specs open for ballot

- <https://github.com/jakartaee/specifications/labels/ballot>
- Nov 10 Update
 - Everything is in ballot!!!
 - Hoping for ballots to close - please vote early to enable fixing open issues
- Nov 17 update
 - Reviews are occurring in a timely manner - still on track for Nov 20
 - Press release has been drafted, will be shared this afternoon - would welcome additional quotes (deadline Friday)
 - Release will be discussed at Marketing Committee

Eclipse GlassFish 6.0 Status - Steve Millidge/Eliot Martin

- Project board for GlassFish <https://github.com/eclipse-ee4j/glassfish/projects/1>
- TCK issues:
 - <https://github.com/eclipse-ee4j/glassfish/issues?q=is%3Aissue+is%3Aopen+label%3Aee9-tck>
- Nov 10 status
 - Will do EE 9 release against RC2 which is already available
 - Hope to have final release in Maven Central, etc by Livestream
- Nov 17 update
 - Still attempting to release components in their final versions

2021 Program Plan and Budget - We Must Agree on a Budget by November 18

- **References**
 - See pdf of [2021 Working Group Program Plan Process](#)
 - Original [Draft Proposal 2021 Jakarta EE Program Plan](#) in this folder:
 - https://drive.google.com/drive/u/0/folders/1_TobVjm4SF69rrSrQ8LbPwI9CXhmMtha
 - Revised plan for review in Nov 3 meeting:
 - https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/18KRbGwdCwVImm19z2myo9QDAQnzpbaid9K_CSHaCYDs/edit#slide=id.g9591dbaa17_0_0
 - **Revised plan for review in Nov 10 meeting**
 - https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1GLcjVvMrQTMHTgcAMijKp-lgi dRmzxQAJNpWKEg5ek/edit#slide=id.ga4af08b537_4_0
- **Background**
 - 1) Dates
 - Nov 4 - plan
 - **Nov 18 - budget**
 - Dec 3 - revised plan and budget

- 2) Plan is intended to define our priorities, and represents an agreement on what we work towards.
- 3) Plan (**and budget**) is by majority vote. The plan and budget can be changed.
- 4) Steering Committee does not have to drive details of all decisions. Marketing, spec committees and other program teams can drive decisions within their scope, perhaps more efficiently.

- **Resolution for funding MPWG**

- See:
 - <https://docs.google.com/document/d/1f4WP5FFRANRWt4T5t03tPMbW6dICJi8VIPBVm-sQE0w/edit>
- Recap of last week's discussion:
 - Would like to affirm the assumption around budgeting of this line item
 - Oracle made some suggestions for changes to verbiage which were accepted.
 - There was consensus on the proposed resolution, though a formal vote was not held.
 - IBM would like a chance for internal review and will be prepared to vote this week. Will alert the group if there is a problem.
 - Eclipse Foundation would like for this to be publicly communicated next week, and we should discuss this next week
 - Propose to restart CN4J discussion next week
 - David and Mark noted he would like for the CN4J alliance discussion to be made public when we become comfortable sharing it, long before it is completed
- No issues were raised during the past week. Reminder mail sent on Monday. Propose to vote on the resolution below. Looking for agreement among all strategic members, and a super majority of Steering Committee members:

WHEREAS, the Jakarta EE Steering Committee believes the successful launch and broad participation of all the Jakarta EE Strategic Members in the MicroProfile Working Group is beneficial to both the shorter-term and longer-term viability and success of Jakarta EE working group; and

WHEREAS, each of the Jakarta EE Strategic Members has indicated an intention to join, or has already joined, the MicroProfile Working Group by no later than the renewal date of their 2021 renewal; therefore

RESOLVED, provided that all Jakarta EE Strategic Members join the MicroProfile Working Group no later than the renewal date of their 2021 renewal, the Jakarta EE Steering Committee agrees to allocate up to

\$110,000 of Jakarta EE 2021 budget to cover the 2021 MicroProfile Working Group fees of each of the Jakarta EE Strategic members, and requests the Eclipse Management Organization to take such actions as make this effective; and

RESOLVED, that any new member that joins Jakarta EE as a Strategic member up and until December 31, 2021, shall have their 2021 participation fees in MicroProfile Working Group, assuming they join, be covered by their 2021 Jakarta EE Working Group participation fees.

Members voted as follows:

Fujitsu: Yes
IBM: Yes
Oracle: Yes
Payara: Yes
Red Hat: Yes
Tomitribe: Yes
Enterprise Member representative: Not present
Participant member representative: Yes
Committer member representative: Yes

The resolution was adopted.

- Discuss how to communicate this
 - Propose to send a note to the Working Group
 - I will draft a note for the Steering Committee with 24 hours to comment to send to the Working Group, emphasizing
 - Unanimous
 - All Strategic members are intending to join
- Discuss next steps on CN4J Alliance generally
 - Will come back to this next week
- **Specification Committee Proposal**
 - https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1Kh8I7xjYPW9YFK9NHZwgd55UNuzScRTufzZJYVMp2Z0/edit#slide=id.g5419e16d0f_0_0
 - Paul summarized the spec committee discussion
 - Spec Committee prepared slide as required
 - It was noted that some of the projects may require additional funding - there has not been detailed analysis
 - General feeling a budget allocation of \$20K at this time would be prudent and is recommended

- **Budget review**

- The following budget was reviewed last week
 - https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1mAFmtMY7kCQdRP-dBgE3zap_a17j1HMgC_TrNjSoFvp8/edit#gid=1727074758
- Provided link to membership fees
- Expenses are the Eclipse Foundation best estimates
- Summary comments from last week captured below:
 - Oracle believes the budget should be balanced. The \$50K allocation for CN4J should be dropped to \$25K to balance the budget.
 - Tomitribe expressed concern over Web Development and Virtual Event Coordination line items, and does not want to see an increase in headcount expenditures without insight on how reducing or reallocating headcount could work.
 - Red Hat does not want to see an increase in headcount expenditures.
 - Fujitsu would like to better understand the proposed Web Development line item before adopting this budget item.
 - Payara wants to see a balanced budget.
 - IBM would like to discuss the draft budget internally before commenting in detail, and has questions on the infrastructure expense items.
- There was no consensus on the open questions above at the end of the meeting. Members were invited to propose solutions for the open questions being raised, in order that we may close on the budget by Nov 18.
- Eclipse Foundation clarified headcount guidelines:
 - Jakarta EE Program Manager, Developer Advocate, Senior Marketing Specialist should be considered permanent headcount
 - Permanent headcount are dedicated entirely to the Working Group and should not be reduced at budgeting time or any other time
 - All other roles should be considered “services”
 - Service roles can be reduced or reallocated at budgeting time and reevaluated periodically during the year
 - Any reductions should be made with a reasonable amount of advanced notice
- Review Oracle budget proposal:

I have created a Jakarta EE WG Draft 2021 Budget Proposal - WAL at the following link:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1f1ShRy-DsI3JXeMn_qDV2mLkorZZI90MP_55YyvUu2pw/edit#gid=1727074758

This file is a copy of the Draft Budget Proposal at:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1mAFmtMY7kCQdRP-dBgE3zapa17j1HMgC_TrNjSoFvp8/edit#gid=1727074758

...with the following changes:

- I have added columns F and G with my proposal and comments
- I have changed the \$40K Web Development budget to zero
- I have changed the \$50K CN4J Alliance budget to zero
- I have created a Steering Committee contingency fund budget of \$65K (essentially the two items above minus \$25K). The intent is to budget for this amount, and to agree that we will discuss and fund as appropriate when we have agreement on a plan.
- This brings the budget into balance (expenses = revenues)

Following the discussion of the spec committee line item, a note was added to the existing Steering Committee contingency budget of \$65K as follows:

“Includes \$65K for potential Web Development, CN4J activities and Spec Committee contingency requirements”

Oracle proposed that we adopt the budget as drafted at:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1f1ShRy-DsI3JXeMnqDV2mLkorZZI90MP_55YYvUu2pw/edit#gid=1727074758

Members voted as follows:

Fujitsu: Yes

IBM: Yes

Oracle: Yes

Payara: Yes

Red Hat: Yes

Tomitribe: Yes

Enterprise Member representative: Not present

Participant member representative: Yes

Committer member representative: Yes

The budget is adopted. We will create a PDF of the google sheet per request. This is available at:

[Jakarta EE Working Group 2021 Budget - Nov 17 2020.pdf](#)

[Jakarta EE Working Group 2021 Budget - Nov 17 2020 Human Readable](#)

- **Review Current State of Program Plan (discussed spec item only)**
 - https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1GLcjVvMrQTWMHTgcAMijKp-lgidRmzxQAJNpWKEg5ek/edit#slide=id.ga4af08b537_4_0
 - Paul Buck reviewed the content of Spec Committee slide 2:
 - https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1Kh8I7xjYPW9YFK9NHZwgd55UNuzScRTufzZJYVMp2Z0/edit#slide=id.g5419e16d0f_0_0
 - Notes below on items which have not been sufficiently discussed or resolved
 - Transitioning ecosystem to jakarta namespace
 - Eclipse Foundation should prepare a CI budget
 - We need to discuss goals for recruiting new members
 - Review rewarding contributors/committers to implementation projects
 - I will update the Program

- **Reference links**
 - CN4J Alliance doc draft:
 - https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1tqHnuvTkES1jIV5tDBezrrKRstZA_bv21poO8rjyN4Q/edit?ts=5f11fa24#slide=id.g8d80e7e2aa_0_16
 - Slides suggested by David
 - https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1-zvmW0nezn9Bp4aYYafaw9Mxl6n4-qgEXvvuOmyGDM0/edit#slide=id.g9591dbaa17_0_14

Question on Vendor Case Studies (was deferred to next week)

- Notes from last meeting
 - There was an email thread on this to which Neil replied
 - Given this is an existing plan that is being executed on, I recommend focusing this meeting on CY21 planning issues. If, after review of Neil's reply, there is need for further Steering Committee discussion, I recommend deferring to next week.
 - We rescheduled the brief review/presentation on this topic next week

Q4 objectives:

- Please review
 - [https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/19du8Ccx4aYc-q5aNnuglYR1nl00ZPUcgPeZU9uW8NE/edit#slide=id.g7b69340134_0_68_1w!!GqivPVa7Brio!P2_JY5EOutmfnqalL1F0ryAKdAQOT30E3ioJzNDxToxFK-6uX9SVPXq-wqjJclD1Q\\$](https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/19du8Ccx4aYc-q5aNnuglYR1nl00ZPUcgPeZU9uW8NE/edit#slide=id.g7b69340134_0_68_1w!!GqivPVa7Brio!P2_JY5EOutmfnqalL1F0ryAKdAQOT30E3ioJzNDxToxFK-6uX9SVPXq-wqjJclD1Q$)

JakartaOne Livestream

- Program is created and published, and we have short talks from 4 vendors
 - Oracle, Payara, Tomitribe, Fujitus
 - IBM asked to be added to this agenda
 - If there are other vendor talks let Tanja know ASAP
- https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1jknN2BxqJHvnknpMbEZj_zmCaoxMIRjzhXHO7Gn-LLE/edit#gid=0

=====

The items below were not discussed. Headings retained as a record for future meetings

Jakarta EE 2020 Operational Plan (not discussed)

Marketing Committee Update and Jakarta EE Update Calls (not discussed)

Jakarta EE Roadmap/Release Cadence - Ivar Grimstad (not discussed)

Tooling (not discussed)